

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,411	09/01/2006	Irina Nikolaievna Kuznetsova	VO-775	2526
42419 7590 12/19/2008 PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON			EXAMINER	
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD			MANOHAR, MANU M	
SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11011111111111111	3111113, 113 00130		1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/591,411	KUZNETSOVA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MANU M. MANOHAR	1617		

		MANU M. MANOHAR	1617	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DI Name of time may be easily a condition under the provisions of 370 FMS. SK (5) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Its SK (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication or the complex of the provision of 370 FMS. SK (5) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication of the condition	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Sc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dienoeiti	ion of Claims			
4) <u></u>	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or e	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acco Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or de	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been receive	on No	Stage
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.	
Attachmen	t(s)	4) Interview Summers	(PTO.413)	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervi
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_ Paper
2) The country of the state of the country of the c	5) Notice

	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	Notice of Informal Patent Application
6)	Other:

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application with title 'Medical emulsion of perfluororganic compounds and method for the production thereof' contain claims directed towards product and method of producing the product. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I claims 1-16, 22 and 23 are drawn to a fluorocarbon emulsion.

Group II claims 17-21 are drawn to a method of producing a fluorocarbon emulsion.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The compounds of the claims lack special structural element qualifying as special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. The compounds, perfluorooctylbromide do not define a contribution over the prior art. US patent 6,113, 919 teaches the use of perfluorooctylbromide (Column 20, claim 20) for emulsion which can be used as blood substitute and carrier of oxygen in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases (Column 1

Art Unit: 1617

line 19-28). Therefore, unity of invention is lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is proper.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

In Group I, for claims 1-16, 22, 23 of single or group of related compounds are required, for example, perfluordecaline or related compounds or perfluor-1-propyl-3,4-dimethylpyrrolidone or related compounds, with the explanation and exact definition of all the components in the emulsion.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1617

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

manner:

Group I claims 1-16, 22, 23 drawn to a fluorocarbon emulsion.

Group II claims 17-21, drawn to a method of producing a fluorocarbon emulsion.

The following claims are generic:

Group I, claim 1

Group II. claim 17

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The compound for the emulsion perfluorooctylbromide have already been described in the art (Column 20, claim 20) for medical purposes (Column 1 line 19-28). Accordingly, the claims do not provide a new inventive concept over the prior art and thus they lack of unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1617

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MANU M. MANOHAR whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1617

(571)270-5752. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu 9.00AM to

4.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Padmanabhan Sreeni can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MANU M MANOHAR Examiner Art Unit 1617

MM

/Yong S Chong/

Examiner, Art Unit 1617